

### Addendum

*Helv. Chim. Acta* **1988**, 71, 762, No.86: by Giacomo Carrea, Gianluca Ottolina, Sergio Riva, Bruno Danieli, Giordano Lesma, and Giovanni Palmisano:

Quotation of the paper of *Moschel et al.* [1] ([14b] at p. 772) is wrong, as correctly pointed out by *Van Duuren*. Indeed, the reference the authors intended to cite was [17b] which is a review article [2] reporting, among others, *Van Duuren*'s publication in *J. Heterocycl. Chem.* [3].

[1] R. C. Moschel, W. R. Hudgins, A. Dipple, *J. Org. Chem.* **1979**, 44, 3324.

[2] D. W. Roberts, D. L. Williams, *Tetrahedron* **1987**, 43, 1027.

[3] B. M. Goldschmidt, K. Frenkel, B. L. Van Duuren, *J. Heterocycl. Chem.* **1974**, 11, 719.

---

### Erratum

*Helv. Chim. Acta* **1989**, 72, 784, No.87: by Peter Sandmeier and Christoph Tamm:  
p. 784, Summary: Compounds **6** and **7** and not **2** and **3** are artefacts...